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## 3. Summary

Scatterplots display the relationship between two numerical variables.

The $x$ axis often displays the explanatory or predictor variable. The $y$ axis often displays the response variable.

Below is a scatterplot that displays the relationship between the height variable and the weight variable.


## Describing the scatterplot

When I look at a scatter plot, I'm evaluating four characteristics of the plot:

1. Shape (linear or curved)
2. Gaps or outliers
3. Direction (positive or negative association)
4. Strength (weak, moderate, or strong)



- Positive association: as the $x$ variable increases, the $y$ variable also increases
- Negative association: as the $x$ variable increases, the $y$ variable decreases


Negative


## (4) Strength

The relationship is strong if the points fall close to the trend, and weak if they do not.
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Given a visualization of the relationship between two numerical variables, one needs to find ways to summarize the information that facilitate understanding and insight. One standard tool is correlation.

Correlation, denoted by $r$, is a measure of strength of the linear association between two numerical variables.

- $r$ lies between -1 and 1 , inclusive
- $r$ equals 1 if and only if all points lie on a line with positive slope
- $r$ equals -1 if and only if all points lie on a line with negative slope


## श甲母 <br> (D) 1



HIGH SCORE MRIN MENU 12

DEXT

| TRUER | 0.23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| GUESSEDR | 0.30 |
| DIFFEREMCE | 0.07 |
| STRERKS | 0 |
| MERIERRIOR | 0.07 |

(D) ${ }^{+1}$

Go to the website http://guessthecorrelation.com You have 5 minutes. Take a screenshot of your highest score, and type your score in the zoom chat. The one with the highest of all will get a prize.

The correlation is only useful if the relationship is linear and there are no outliers.
All of the plots below have a correlation $=0.6$


The correlation is only useful if the relationship is linear and there are no outliers.

If those conditions are met, it summarizes:

- Strength:
- Strong relationship if correlation is close to 1 or -1
- Weak relationship if correlation is close to 0
- Direction:
- Positive association if correlation is positive
- Negative association if correlation is negative.

I won't ask you to compute correlation by hands. We can compute correlation in R easily.

Suppose we want to calculate the correlation between 'petal length' and 'petal width' in the following data set with measurements on 150 'iris' flowers.

We can use the following code

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { iris } \%>\% \\
& \quad \text { select(petal_length, petal_width) } \%>\% \\
& \text { cor() }
\end{aligned}
$$

Output:

```
    petal_length petal_width
## petal_length 1.0000000 0.9628654
## petal_width 0.9628654 1.0000000
```

R won't check for you if the relationship is linear and there are no outliers. You must check this yourself.

## Poll question

Is the correlation useful here?
a Yes
(b) No
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Just because there is a linear relationship between two variables does not mean we have evidence that one variable causes the other.
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Even if there really was a cause-and-effect relationship, with correlation we cannot say which variable is the cause and which is the effect. It's also possible that there exists some other unmeasured variable affecting the linear relationship we observe.

And of course, any apparent relationship may be due to nothing more than random chance.

Go to http://www.tylervigen.com/spurious-correlations Browse through some of the plots there. Become fully and deeply convinced that if two variables have a high correlation, that does not tell you anything about one variable causing the other.
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Import message: Correlation does not imply causation!

